# Identification, Genome Sizes, and Ploidy of Deutzia

## William G. Hembree, Thomas G. Ranney, and Nathan P. Lynch

Mountain Crop Improvement Laboratory, Department of Horticultural Science, Mountain Horticultural Crops Research and Extension Center, North Carolina State University, 455 Research Drive, Mills River, NC 28759-3562

## **Brian E. Jackson**

Department of Horticultural Science, North Carolina State University, Campus Box 7609, Raleigh, NC 27695-7609

ADDITIONAL INDEX WORDS. cytogenetics, cytology, DNA content, flow cytometry, Hydrangeaceae, plant breeding, polyploidy, taxonomy

ABSTRACT. The genus *Deutzia*, in the Hydrangeaceae family, includes ~60 species that range in ploidy from diploid (2x) to tetradecaploid (14x). There have been extensive breeding efforts for *Deutzia*, but this has been limited to a few parental species. Although there have been numerous studies of the cytogenetics of some species of *Deutzia*, the ploidy level of many species remains unknown, and there are few cytogenetic data available for *Deutzia* hybrids and cultivars. The purpose of this study was to validate the identification and determine the genome sizes and ploidy of a diverse collection of *Deutzia* species and hybrids using cytology and flow cytometry. Accessions were identified using the most current taxonomic key and voucher specimens were deposited for each at the North Carolina State University herbarium. Corrected and updated species names are provided for all cultivars and accessions studied. Traditional cytology was performed for roots of representative taxa to calibrate the genome size with the ploidy level. The genome size and estimated ploidy were determined for 43 accessions using flow cytometry. Ploidy levels were reported for the first time for three species of *Deutzia* including *D. calycosa* (2n = 4x = 52), *D. paniculata* (2n = 4x = 52), and *D. glauca* (2n = 12x = 156). The base and monoploid genome size (1Cx) were somewhat variable and ranged from 1.20 to 2.05 pg. No anisoploid hybrids were documented, suggesting the presence of an interploid block. The information produced from this study are beneficial to future curation, research, development, and improvement of this genus with corrected nomenclature and clone-specific data regarding cytogenetics.

Deutzia species are a valuable group of temperate landscape plants grown primarily for their profusions of showy white to pink flowers produced in late spring. Several species have been widely cultivated in Europe since the first *Deutzia* were imported from Japan in the early 18th century. *Deutzia* gained added global popularity in the early 19th century due to the introduction of additional Asian species to cultivation (Styer and Stern, 1979). With access to this diverse germplasm, many additional *Deutzia* hybrids and cultivars were developed through the breeding and selection efforts of Victor Lemoine, his family, and the Lemoine Nursery staff in the 19th and earlymid 20th centuries in Nancy, France (Wyman, 1971). The development of new hybrids and cultivars has continued since then.

The taxonomic history of *Deutzia* has seen it placed within both the Saxifragaceae and the Philadelphaceae families, although it is currently accepted as a member of Philadelpheae within Hydrangeaceae (Soltis et al., 1995; Stevens, 2001). Deutzia is most closely allied with Kirengoshoma, a small genus of rhizomatous perennials that share several morphological traits with Deutzia (Hufford et al., 2001). Deutzia represents a disjunct genus with species occurring in eastern Asia and Central America. The genus has traditionally been divided into three sections based on morphological differences, with the Asian sections *Deutzia* and *Mesodeutzia* differing in the aestivation of the petals (valvate/induplicate in Deutzia and imbricate in Mesodeutzia). The central American Neodeutzia, rarely treated as a separate genus, differs from its Asian relatives in the number of stamens, with 12 to 15 in Neodeutzia compared with 10 in Deutzia and Mesodeutzia (Hwang, 1993; Styer and Stern, 1979; Zaikonnikova, 1975). Kim et al. (2015) constructed a phylogeny of the genus and suggested that polyphyletic sections Deutzia and Mesodeutzia should be merged into a single monophyletic section (Deutzia/Mesodeutzia), thus reducing the number of sections to two.

Polyploidy has had an important role in the evolution and divergence of angiosperms (Soltis et al., 2015; Wendel, 2015). Repeated cycles of whole genome duplication (sometimes coupled with hybridization) can lead to reproductive isolation, genomic rearrangements, enzymatic multiplicity, epigenetic changes, and novel phenotypes that contribute to biodiversity and speciation (Adams and Wendel, 2005; Chen and Ni, 2006;

Received for publication 27 June 2019. Accepted for publication 18 Nov. 2019. Published online 17 January 2020.

This research was funded, in part, by the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service, Raleigh, NC; U.S. Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Washington, DC; and Spring Meadow Nursery, Grand Haven, MI.

We gratefully acknowledge the Arnold Arboretum, Jamaica Plains, MA; Longwood Gardens, Kennett Square, PA; the JC Raulston Arboretum, Raleigh, NC; Cornell Botanic Gardens, Ithaca, NY; Morris Arboretum, Philadelphia, PA; United States National Arboretum, Washington, DC; Chicago Botanic Garden, Glencoe, IL; Holden Arboretum, Kirtland, OH; Donglin Zhang, University of Georgia, Athens, GA; Irene Palmer and Andra Nus, Mountain Crop Improvement Lab, Mills River, NC; and the staff at the Mountain Horticultural Crops Research and Extension Center for their cooperation, technical assistance, and/or plant material.

W.G.H. is a Graduate Research Assistant.

T.G.R. is a JC Raulston Distinguished Professor.

N.P.L. is a Research Specialist.

B.E.J. is an Associate Professor.

T.G.R. is the corresponding author. E-mail: tom\_ranney@ncsu.edu.

This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC-ND license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Chen and Yu, 2013; Hegarty and Hiscock, 2008; Laport and Ng, 2017; Madlung, 2013). For plant breeders, knowledge of ploidy is particularly important because it influences reproductive compatibility, fertility of hybrids, and gene expression (Ranney, 2006). There have been numerous cytological studies of Deutzia, beginning with Sax (1931) at the Arnold Arboretum (Boston, MA). Compared with other genera within Philadelpheae, *Deutzia* exhibits extreme variability in ploidy, with 2n =2x = 26 to 2n = 14x = 182 (Table 1), possibly with ploidy variations within species. The other speciose genus in this tribe, *Philadelphus*, has a similar number of species ( $\approx 65$ ) as *Deutzia* (Dirr, 2009). However, unlike Deutzia, polyploidy is not found in Philadelphus. The greater ploidy variation in Deutzia may contribute to its higher degree of morphological variation when compared with other genera in this tribe (Sax, 1931). Both Deutzia and Philadelphus, as well as Fendlerella, also of Philadelpheae, have a base chromosome number of x = 13(Sax, 1931; Ward, 1984).

Genome size (DNA content) can reflect biodiversity, genome evolution, and taxonomic relationships (Laport and Ng, 2017; Ranney et al., 2018; Rounsaville and Ranney, 2010; Soltis et al., 2015). Genome size data can also be used to estimate ploidy in closely related taxa when properly calibrated with known cytological standards (Jones et al., 2007; Lattier et al., 2014; Parris et al., 2010; Rounsaville and Ranney, 2010; Shearer and Ranney, 2013). A previous report of the genome size of *Deutzia* was the first report of genome size of Hydrangeaceae. Using Feulgen densitometry, Hanson et al. (2001) determined that the 1Cx genome size of *D. prunifolia* is 1.9 pg. The more recent development of flow cytometry has provided a more accurate and efficient method of determining genome size (Doležel et al., 2007). We are not aware of other reports of genome size of *Deutzia* using flow cytometry.

Despite the extensive use and wide cultivation of *Deutzia*, correct identification of the species is challenging and problematic, and differentiation between species is often subtle (Dirr, 2009). With more than 60 species of *Deutzia*, it is possible that many species and cultivars have been traded under incorrect names for years. However, an excellent key for *Deutzia* that provides clear distinctions among taxa was developed by Zaikonnikova (1975).

The broad genetic diversity and array of desirable commercial traits of *Deutzia* provide a valuable base for further breeding and development of future cultivars. However, confusion regarding the proper identity and lack of information regarding cytogenetics of particular accessions and cultivars

Table 1. Previous cytological reports for Deutzia species.

Taxon	Chromosome no.	Reference
D. baroniana	2n = 4x = 52	Fedorov, 1974; Hanson et al., 2001
D. bungoensis	2n = 4x = 52	Niu and Ohba, 2000
	2n = 6x = 78	Niu and Ohba, 2003
D. compacta	2n = 2x = 26	Fedorov, 1974
D. corymbosa	2n = 2x = 26	Sandhu and Mann, 1989
	2n = 14x = 182	Chatterjee et al., 1989
D. crenata	2n = 6x = 78	Funamoto and Nakamura, 1994; Niu and Ohba 2000
	2n = 10x = 130	Darlington and Wylie, 1955; Fedorov, 1974; Funamoto and Nakamura, 1994; Niu and Ohba, 2000: Terasaka and Tanaka, 1974
D. discolor	2n = 8x = 104	Darlington and Wylie, 1955: Fedorov, 1974
D. floribunda	2n = 6x = 78	Niu and Ohba, 2000
D. gracilis	2n = 2x = 26	Darlington and Wylie, 1955; Fedorov, 1974; Funamoto and Nakamura, 1992
D. gracilis var. gracilis	2n = 2x = 26	Niu and Ohba, 2000
D. gracilis var. microcarpa	2n = 2x = 26	Niu and Ohba, 2000
D. gracilis var. zentaroana	2n = 4x = 52	Niu and Ohba, 2000
D. hypoglauca	2n = 2x = 26	Darlington and Wylie, 1955
D. longifolia	2n = 8x = 104	Cave, 1959
D. maximowicziana	2n = 2x = 26	Funamoto and Nakamura, 1992; Niu and Ohba, 2000
D. mollis	2n = 6x = 78	Darlington and Wylie, 1955; Fedorov, 1974
D. naseana	2n = 4x = 52	Funamoto and Nakamura, 1992; Ohba and Akiyama, 1992
D. naseana var. amanoi	2n = 4x = 52	Ohba and Akiyama, 1992
D. ogatai	2n = 10x = 130	Niu and Ohba, 2003
D. parviflora	2n = 2x = 26	Darlington and Wylie, 1955; Fedorov, 1974
D. pulchra	2n = 8x = 104	Cave, 1964
D. purpurascens	2n = 2x = 26	Darlington and Wylie, 1955
D. scabra	2n = 2x = 26	Funamoto and Nakamura, 1992; Niu and Ohba, 2000
	2n = 10x = 130	Singhal et al., 1980
D. scabra var. sieboldiana	2n = 2x = 26	Niu and Ohba, 2000
D. schneideriana	2n = 10x = 130	Darlington and Wylie, 1955
D. staminea	2n = 2x = 26	Cave, 1963; Sandhu and Mann, 1989
D. uniflora	2n = 2x = 26	Funamoto and Nakamura, 1992; Niu and Ohba, 2000
	2n = 6x = 78	Cave, 1959; Fedorov, 1974
D. yaeyamensis	2n = 2x = 26	Niu and Ohba, 2000; Ohba and Akiyama, 1992
D. ×candelabrum (gracilis ×scabra)	2n = 2x = 26	Fedorov, 1974

constrain the development of informed breeding strategies. The objectives of this study were to validate the identification and determine genome sizes and estimated ploidy of an extensive collection of *Deutzia* species, hybrids, and cultivars.

### **Materials and Methods**

PLANT MATERIAL. Plant material was collected from arboreta and botanical gardens in the United States in June 2014. Plants were propagated by stem cuttings by treating with 5000 mg $\cdot$ L<sup>-1</sup> potassium salt of indolebutyric acid (KIBA) basal dip for 5 s and maintained under intermittent mist until rooted. Plants were then potted in 2.8-L containers with media consisting of 100% ground pine bark supplemented with 1.04 kg·m<sup>-3</sup> dolomitic lime and 0.74 kg·m<sup>-3</sup> granular micronutrients (Micromax; The Scotts Co., Marysville, OH), and grown outdoors on a gravel pad. Each container was topdressed with  $\approx 12$  g of 5- to 6month 15N-3.9P-10K slow-release fertilizer (Osmocote plus 15-9-12; The Scotts Co.). Accessions were field-planted in Fall 2014 at the Mountain Horticulture Crops Research and Extension Center in Mills River, NC. Flowering and vegetative material of mature plants from each accession were collected and pressed in May 2018. For root tip collections, selected taxa were propagated and container-grown as described previously. All accessions were identified according to Zaikonnikova (1975) by Hembree. Herbarium specimens for each accession were prepared and deposited in the North Carolina State University Herbarium in Raleigh.

GENOME SIZE/PLOIDY DETERMINATION. Flow cytometry and cytology were used together to determine genome size and ploidy. For flow cytometry, samples were prepared from fully expanded leaf tissue. Approximately 0.5 cm<sup>2</sup> of leaf tissue was placed in a petri dish with 500 µL nuclei extraction buffer (CyStain PI Absolute P Nulclei Extraction Buffer; Sysmex Partec, Görlitz, Germany) and finely chopped with a razorblade. The resulting solution was filtered through a 50-µm nylon-mesh filter (CellTrics; SysmexPartec) into a 3.5-mL polystyrene tube. Following filtration, 2 mL of nuclei staining buffer (CyStain PI Absolute P; Sysmex Partec), 6 µL RNAse A (Sysmex Partec), and 12 µL propidium iodide (Sysmex Partec) were added to the mixture. Then, the solution was incubated at 4 °C in a refrigerator for at least 30 min. The incubated samples were analyzed using a flow cytometer (PA II; Sysmex Partec). A minimum of 3000 nuclei were recorded with a mean coefficient of variation of 6.3%. Two subsamples were prepared from two randomly selected leaves from each accession. Mean fluorescence for each sample was compared with an internal standard, either *Pisum sativum* 'Ctirad' (2C = 8.76 pg)or Magnolia virginiana 'Jim Wilson' (2C = 3.92 pg) (Doležel et al., 1998; Parris et al., 2010), depending on the genome size of the sample. Genome size (2C) was calculated as follows: [DNA content of the standard  $\times$  (mean fluorescence of the sample / mean fluorescence of the standard)]. The 1Cx monoploid genome size was calculated as (2C genome size / ploidy).

To calibrate the genome size with ploidy levels, chromosome counts were performed for root tips from selected accessions. Root tips from actively growing roots were collected from the root system after removing the container. Root tips were excised and placed in a pre-fixative solution of 2 mm 8hydroxyquinoline + 0.24 mm cycloheximide to arrest mitosis. Roots were left for 3 h at room temperature (20 °C) in the dark before being moved to a refrigerator for incubation at 4 °C for an additional 3 h. After the cold incubation period, the roots were triple-washed in cold, distilled water before being transferred to a 1:3 fixative solution of propionic acid and 95% ethanol and stored at room temperature overnight. After a minimum of 14 h, roots were transferred to a storage solution of 70% ethanol. Fixed roots were hydrolyzed in a 1:3 solution of 12 M hydrochloric acid and 95% ethanol for 90 s. The roots were moved to a slide where the tips were excised before being transferred to a new slide with a drop of modified carbol fuchsin (Kao, 1975). After several minutes, a cover slip was placed over the root tips. Then, they were squashed and viewed with a light microscope at 1000× magnification. Highly resolved cells were observed and photographed for each counted accession.

### **Results and Discussion**

Forty-three accessions were included in this study representing 13 species and 7 interspecific hybrids including 24 named cultivars (Tables 2 and 3). Of the taxa studied, species designations of 13 were inconsistent with the key created by Zaikonnikova (1975) (Table 2). The names of the Zaikonnikova key were compared with the current taxonomy of Deutzia and updated when appropriate (The Plant List, 2019). Species names were also corrected when appropriate (Table 2). There were many possible factors that could have contributed to these discrepancies. As Zaikonnikova (1975) stated, Deutzia comprise "a difficult group" that present many "problems of identification." It is difficult to know how many of our germplasm sources have been revisited and re-examined since the date of their initial accessions, and it is likely that the concept and scope of the genus Deutzia and species designations have changed considerably since then. Often, particularly in commerce, species names are accepted without question, especially when there are no resident experts at the receiving institution. Therefore, plants may be widely shared and distributed, and they may come to be known by a name that is taxonomically incorrect.

Chromosome counts were completed for *D. gracilis* 'Nikko Dawn' (2n = 2x = 26), *D. ×kalmiiflora* (2n = 2x = 26), *D. paniculata* 'Dippon' (2n = 4x = 52), *D. ogatai* (2n = 4x = 52), *D. ×magnifica* 'Nancy' (2n = 6x = 78), and *D. discolor* (2n = 8x = 104) (Fig. 1). These taxa served as references for calibrating estimated ploidy with genome sizes.

Estimated ploidy of Deutzia included in this study ranged from 2x to 12x. The first known ploidy estimates of D. calycosa (2n = 4x = 52), *D. paniculata* (2n = 4x = 52), and *D. glauca* (2x = 52)12x = 156) were also determined. Ploidy of *D. ogatai*, *D.* parviflora, D. naseana, and D. longifolia were inconsistent with previous literature (Table 2). It is likely that previous cytological studies of *Deutzia* were challenged by the same taxonomic issues and difficulty with correctly identifying species that were encountered in this study. As such, it is difficult to know for certain which taxa specifically were being used in these studies and if the species designations were correct because herbarium vouchers generally do not exist for these studies. The difficulties surrounding the identification of members of this group are omnipresent and influence the results of virtually all studies thereof. Without the ability to confirm which species have been studied previously, it is sometimes difficult to validate the results of prior studies of Deutzia.

Several species of *Deutzia* have been reported as having a ploidy series, including *D. bungoensis*, *D. corymbosa*, *D.* 

Taxon         Received as         voucher no. <sup>2</sup> Source/ID no. <sup>4</sup> Immoniane (pg)         size (pg)			Accession no./NCSC		2C Genome size	1Cx Genome	Estimated
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Taxon	Received as	voucher no. <sup>z</sup>	Source/ID no. <sup>z</sup>	[mean $\pm$ sE (pg)]	size (pg)	ploidy $(x)$
Nikko $D$ $gractiks$ $D(1468)$ Henbree 2 $MCL$ $287 \pm 0.01$ $1.43$ $Nikko Dawn'$ $D$ $gractiks$ $D(1465)$ Henbree 48 $CRA$ 10044 $2.85 \pm 0.01$ $1.42$ $D$ $hypoglaucs'$ $D$ $monolysics'$ $D$ $monolysics'$ $2.85 \pm 0.04$ $1.42$ $D$ $hypoglaucs'$ $D$ $monolysics'$ $2.014-034$ Henbree 13 $USNA$ 5575 $2.35 \pm 0.01$ $1.42$ $D$ $copcoss'$ $D$ $monolysics'$ $2.014-034$ Henbree 13 $USNA$ 5575 $2.35 \pm 0.01$ $1.42$ $D$ $porticitat$ $D$ $gractifs$ $2.014-054$ Henbree 13 $USNA$ 5575 $5.45 \pm 0.11$ $1.36$ $D$ $porticitat$ $D$ $gractifs$ $2.014-054$ Henbree 13 $USNA$ 5575 $5.45 \pm 0.01$ $1.48$ $D$ $porticitat$ $D$ $porticitat$ $2.014-054$ Henbree 13 $USNA$ 5563 $5.45 \pm 0.01$ $1.48$ $D$ $porticitat$ $D$ $porticitat$ $D$ $porticitat$	D. gracilis						
Nikko Dawn"         D gracifis         2014/37/Hembre 4         CRA 100404         2.84 $\pm$ 001         1.42           Pink Minon"         D scabra         2014107/Hembre 4         Comd BG         2.92 $\pm$ 003         1.42           Pink Minon"         D scabra         2014107/Hembre 4         Comd BG         2.92 $\pm$ 003         1.42           D kipotensis         D impoensis         2014134/Hembre 1         USNA 5599         6.75 $\pm$ 0.01         1.43           D cabycoar         D impoensis         2014407/Hembre 13         USNA 5509         6.75 $\pm$ 0.01         1.43           D cabycoar         D impoensis         2014406/Hembre 14         USNA 5503         5.55 $\pm$ 0.01         1.68           D scatclis         D gracifis         2014405/Hembre 14         USNA 5653         5.54 $\pm$ 0.01         1.68           D panichan         D gracifis         2014405/Hembre 14         USNA 6653         5.54 $\pm$ 0.01         1.68           D panichan         D discolor         D discolor         2014405/Hembre 23         USNA 5653         1.29         2.05           D discolor         D discolor         D discolor         D discolor         1.07 $\pm$ 0.01         1.29           D discolor         D discolor         D discolor         D discolor         1.0	'Nikko'	D. gracilis	2014-068/Hembree 2	MCIL	$2.87\pm0.01$	1.43	7
Pink Minor*         D. scabra         2014-100/Hembres         Cornell BG $2.92\pm0.03$ $1.46$ D. arbevas         D. mubergi         D. mubergi         D. mubergi $2.85\pm0.04$ $1.42$ D. arbevas         D. mubergi         D. mubergi         D. mubergi $2.88\pm0.04$ $1.42$ D. carbocas*         D. mutersi         D. mutersi         D. mutersi $2.88\pm0.04$ $1.42$ D. carbocas*         D. mutersi         D. mutersi         D. mutersi $2.88\pm0.04$ $1.42$ D. carbocas*         D. mutersi         D. mutersi         D. mutersi $2.014-05/Hembree 13$ USNA 5653 $5.45\pm0.11$ $1.36$ D. parrification         D. gracilis         D. gracilis $2.014-05/Hembree 14$ USNA 6563 $5.45\pm0.01$ $1.68$ D. parrification         D. gracilis         D. unigroemic         D. universite $2.014-105/Hembree 17$ USNA 65633 $5.45\pm0.01$ $1.28$ D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor $0.27\pm0.02$ $1.24$ D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor $0.27\pm0.02$ $1.24$	'Nikko Dawn' <sup>y</sup>	D. gracilis	2014-057/Hembree 48	JCRA 100404	$2.84\pm0.01$	1.42	2
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} D, hypoglauce' & D, rubens \\ D, calycoset & D, monbeigi \\ D, calycoset & D, mignorasis \\ D, mignorasis \\ D, calycoset & D, mignorasis \\ D, mignorasis \\ D, ogatifix var. ogatai \\ D, pariellat \\ D, aliccolor \\ D, alal \\ D, aliccolor \\ D, aliccolor \\ D, aliccolor \\ D, $	'Pink Minor' <sup>x</sup>	D. scabra	2014-100/Hembree 4	Cornell BG	$2.92\pm0.03$	1.46	2
D. calycoser         D. monbeigii         2014-074/Hembree 13         USNA 59699 $6.76 \pm 0.12$ $1.68$ D. calycoser         D. mingpoensis         D. mingpoensis $2.014-067$ /Hembree 11         USNA 59630 $6.75 \pm 0.01$ $1.68$ D. pariellar         D. paraclils         D. gracilis $2.014-067$ /Hembree 11         USNA 64514 $7.48 \pm 0.02$ $1.87$ D. parvillorar         D. gracilis $2.014-065$ /Hembree 12         Chicago Bonanic Gardens $8.20 \pm 0.01$ $1.36$ D. parvillorar         D. gracilis $2.014-065$ /Hembree 12         Chicago Bonanic Gardens $8.20 \pm 0.01$ $1.36$ D. parvillorar         D. gracilis $2.014-1057$ /Hembree 17         USNA 64514 $7.48 \pm 0.02$ $1.87$ D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor $2.014-1057$ /Hembree 23         Armold Arboretum 295-2000A $10.71 \pm 0.01$ $1.38$ D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor $2.014-1057$ /Hembree 23 $VARDAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA$	D. hypoglauca <sup>x</sup>	D. rubens	2014-134/Hembree 6	USNA 67798	$2.85\pm0.04$	1.42	2
D. calycosar         D. mingpoensis         D. mingpoensis         D. mingpoensis         D. mingpoensis         D. ogatis         D. ogatis <thd. ogatis<="" th="">         D. ogatis         D.</thd.>	D. calycosa <sup>x</sup>	D. monbeigii	2014-074/Hembree 13	USNA 59699	$6.76\pm0.12$	1.68	4
D. ogaat <sup>p,w</sup> D. gracilis var. ogatai         2018-060/Hembree 49         JCRA 130638         5.45 \pm 0.11         1.36           D. pomiculata         D. gracilis var. ogatai         2018-060/Hembree 12         Chicago Botanic Gardens         8.20 \pm 0.01         2.05           Dippon <sup>***</sup> D. gracilis         2014-065/Hembree 19         USNA 64514         7.48 \pm 0.02         1.87           D. porviptora <sup>**</sup> D. gracilis         2014-107/Hembree 19         Arnold Arboretum 296-2000A         10.27 \pm 0.03         1.34           D. discolor*         D. vilmoriniae         2014-105/Hembree 19         Arnold Arboretum 957-86-A         11.80 \pm 0.16         1.48           D. discolor*         D. aliscolor*         D. aliscolor         2014-105/Hembree 21         UGA         10.71 \pm 0.01         1.34           D. discolor*         D. aliscolor*         D. aliscolor         2014-105/Hembree 21         UGA         11.07 \pm 0.13         1.20           D. discolor*         D. ariwamensis         2014-105/Hembree 21         UGA         11.07 \pm 0.13         1.20           D. discolor*         D. coreana         2014-105/Hembree 22         UGA         12.97         1.20           D. discolor*         D. coreana         2014-105/Hembree 23         UGA         12.02         1.27	D. calycosa <sup>x</sup>	D. ningpoensis	2014-067/Hembree 11	USNA 59620	$6.75\pm0.01$	1.68	4
D. paniculata         D. paricilis         2014-098/Hembree 12         Chicago Botanic Gardens         8.20 ± 0.01         2.05         2.05           D. paryfjora <sup>ves</sup> D. gracilis         D. gracilis         2.014-05/Hembree 14         USNA 64514         7.48 ± 0.02         1.87           D. paryfjora <sup>ves</sup> D. ilmoriniae         2.014-107/Hembree 19         Arnold Arboretum 296-2000A         10.27 ± 0.03         1.28           D. discolo <sup>ve</sup> D. ilmoriniae         2.014-105/Hembree 19         Arnold Arboretum 296-2000A         10.27 ± 0.03         1.34           D. discolo <sup>ve</sup> D. discolo <sup>ve</sup> D. discolo <sup>ve</sup> 11.86 ± 0.16         1.48           D. discolo <sup>ve</sup> D. discolo <sup>ve</sup> D. discolo <sup>ve</sup> 10.71 \pm 0.01         1.34           D. discolo <sup>ve</sup> D. discolo <sup>ve</sup> D. discolo <sup>ve</sup> 2.014-105/Hembree 21         UGA           D. publicha <sup>st</sup> D. careana         2.014-105/Hembree 24         Arnold Arboretum 957-86-A         11.97 \pm 0.13         1.20           D. creata         D. careana         2.014-105/Hembree 24         Arnold Arboretum 923-81-A         1.20         1.20           D. creata         D. coreana         2.014-105/Hembree 24         Arnold Arboretum 923-81-A         1.274 \pm 0.02         1.25           C	D. ogatai <sup>y,w</sup>	D. gracilis var. ogatai	2018-060/Hembree 49	JCRA 130638	$5.45\pm0.11$	1.36	4
Uppon'vsD. gracifis2014-098/Hembree 12Chicago Botanic Gardens $8.20 \pm 0.01$ $2.05$ D. parvifforet**D. giacifisD. vimoriniae $2.014-065$ Hembree 14 $USNA 64514$ $7.34 \pm 0.02$ $187$ D. bixcolo**D. vimoriniaeD. vimoriniae $2.014-065$ Hembree 19 $VSNA 64514$ $7.34 \pm 0.02$ $1.87$ D. discolo**D. vimoriniae $2.014-065$ Hembree 19 $VSNA 64514$ $7.34 \pm 0.02$ $1.87$ D. discolo**D. discolor $2.014+105$ Hembree 19 $VSNA 6533$ $1.071 \pm 0.01$ $1.34$ D. discolo**D. globosa $2.014+105$ Hembree 21 $UGA$ $1.180 \pm 0.15$ $1.39$ D. discolo**D. taiwamensis $2.014+105$ Hembree 21 $UGA$ $1.108 \pm 0.15$ $1.29$ D. pulchra*D. taiwamensis $2.014+105$ Hembree 21 $UGA$ $1.108 \pm 0.15$ $1.20$ D. creataD. creata $2.014+105$ Hembree 22 $Vmold Arboretum 957-86-A11.09 \pm 0.151.20D. creataD. coreana2.014+105Hembree 21UGA1.008 \pm 0.151.20D. creataD. coreana2.014+105Hembree 22Vmold Arboretum 923-81-A1.208 \pm 0.211.27Candidissima*D. scabra2.014+05Hembree 25Vmold Arboretum 923-81-A1.208 \pm 0.211.25Variegata*D. scabra2.014+058Hembree 25Vmold Arboretum 923-81-A1.208 \pm 0.211.25Variegata*D. gracifis2.014+058Hembree 25Vmold Arboretum 923-81-A1.256 \pm 0.021.25Variegata*$	D. paniculata						
D. parviflora <sup>w</sup> Deurzia sp. $2014-065$ /Hembree 14         USNA 64514 $7.48 \pm 0.02$ $187$ D. discolor         D. vilmoriniae         D. vilmoriniae <t< td=""><td>'Dippon'<sup>y,x</sup></td><td>D. gracilis</td><td>2014-098/Hembree 12</td><td>Chicago Botanic Gardens</td><td><math display="block">8.20\pm0.01</math></td><td>2.05</td><td>4</td></t<>	'Dippon' <sup>y,x</sup>	D. gracilis	2014-098/Hembree 12	Chicago Botanic Gardens	$8.20\pm0.01$	2.05	4
D. discolor         D. vilmoriniae         2014-107/Hembree 19         Arnold Arboretum 296-2000A         10.27 $\pm$ 0.03         1.28           D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor         10.27 $\pm$ 0.03         1.34           D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor         10.71 $\pm$ 0.01         1.34           D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor         10.71 $\pm$ 0.01         1.34           D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor         10.71 $\pm$ 0.01         1.34           D. discolor         D. discolor         D. discolor         10.71 $\pm$ 0.01         1.34           D. discolor         D. discolor         2014-115/Hembree 21         UGA         11.07 $\pm$ 0.11         1.20           D. creata         D. coreana         2014-105/Hembree 29         Arnold Arboretum 923-81-A         12.45 $\pm$ 0.02         1.25           Candidissima'x         D. scabra         2014-105/Hembree 26         MCIL         1.247 $\pm$ 0.02         1.25           Codsall Pink'x         D. scabra         2014-106/Hembree 25         JCRA xx0528         12.51 $\pm$ 0.21         1.25           Variegata'x         D. gracifis         D. creata         2014-06/Hembree 25         JCRA xx0591         12.66 $\pm$ 0.21         1.26	D. parviflora <sup>x,w</sup>	Deutzia sp.	2014-065/Hembree 14	USNA 64514	$7.48\pm0.02$	1.87	4
D. discolor         D. discolor <thd. discolor<="" th=""> <thd. discolor<="" th=""></thd.></thd.>	D. discolor <sup><math>v</math></sup>	D. vilmoriniae	2014-107/Hembree 19	Arnold Arboretum 296-2000A	$10.27\pm0.03$	1.28	8
D. discolor*         D. globosa         2014-105/Hembree 38         Arnold Arboretum 957-86-A         11.80 $\pm$ 0.16         1.48           D. pulchra*         D. taiwanensis         2014-113/Hembree 21         UGA         11.08 $\pm$ 0.15         1.39           D. pulchra*         D. taiwanensis         2014-113/Hembree 21         UGA         11.80 $\pm$ 0.15         1.39           D. creanta*         D. coreana         2014-119/Hembree 24         Arnold Arboretum 460-73-A         11.97 $\pm$ 0.13         1.20           D. creanta         D. coreana         2014-112/Hembree 24         Arnold Arboretum 923-81-A         11.97 $\pm$ 0.13         1.20           D. creanta         D. scabra         2014-112/Hembree 29         Arnold Arboretum 923-81-A         12.69 $\pm$ 0.21         1.27           Codsall Pink*         D. scabra         2014-108/Hembree 26         MCIL         12.64 $\pm$ 0.02         1.25           Yariegata*         D. scabra         2014-108/Hembree 25         JCRA xx0228         12.51 $\pm$ 0.02         1.25           White Splashed*         D. creanta         2014-105/Hembree 25         JCRA xx0291         12.68 $\pm$ 0.21         1.26           D. longifolia         D. creanta         2014-05/Hembree 25         JCRA xx028         12.68 $\pm$ 0.10         1.26           D. longifolia	$D. \ discolor^y$	D. discolor	2014-125/Hembree 17	USNA 67633	$10.71\pm0.01$	1.34	8
D. pulchra*         D. taiwanensis         2014-133/Hembree 21         UGA         11.08 $\pm$ 0.15         1.39           D. creanta*         D. coreana         D. taiwanensis         2014-119/Hembree 24         Arnold Arboretum 460-73-A         11.97 $\pm$ 0.13         1.20           D. creanta*         D. coreana         2014-106/Hembree 24         Arnold Arboretum 460-73-A         11.97 $\pm$ 0.13         1.20           D. creanta         D. coreana         2014-108/Hembree 29         Arnold Arboretum 923-81-A         12.69 $\pm$ 0.21         1.27           Candidissima**         D. scabra         2014-108/Hembree 26         MCIL         12.47 $\pm$ 0.02         12.51 $\pm$ 12.01           Variegata**         D. scabra         2014-06/Hembree 25         JCRA xx0228         12.51 $\pm$ 0.21         12.55 $\pm$ 0.02         12.55 $\pm$ 0.04         12.55 $\pm$ 0.01         12.55 $\pm$ 0.010         12.55 $\pm$ 0.01         12.75 $\pm$ 0.0	$D. discolor^{v}$	D. globosa	2014-105/Hembree 38	Arnold Arboretum 957-86-A	$11.80\pm0.16$	1.48	8
D. creaata*         D. coreana $2014-119/Hembree 24$ Arnold Arboretum 460-73-A $11.97 \pm 0.13$ $1.20$ D. creaata         D. coreana $2014-06/Hembree 39$ USNA 72020 $12.85 \pm 0.02$ $129$ D. crenata         D. scabra $2014-166/Hembree 39$ USNA 72020 $12.85 \pm 0.02$ $129$ Candidissima**         D. scabra $2014-108/Hembree 29$ Arnold Arboretum 923-81-A $12.47 \pm 0.02$ $127$ Codsall Pink**         D. scabra $2014-108/Hembree 26$ MCIL $12.47 \pm 0.02$ $12.7$ Variegata**         D. gracilis $2014-056/Hembree 25$ JCRA xx0528 $12.51 \pm 0.10$ $1.25$ 'Vhite Splashed'         D. crenata $2014-056/Hembree 25$ JCRA xx0591 $12.74 \pm 0.02$ $12.55 \pm 0.10$ 'Dingjolia         D. crenata $2014-056/Hembree 25$ JCRA xx0591 $12.56 \pm 0.04$ $12.68 \pm 0.21$ 'Dingjolia         D. crenata $2014-056/Hembree 32$ Cornell BG $12.71 \pm 0.10$ $12.7$ 'Dingjolia         D. longjolia $2014-057/Hembree 33$ Arnold Arboretum 850-80-A $12.68 \pm 0.21$ $12.7$	D. pulchra <sup>x</sup>	D. taiwanensis	2014-133/Hembree 21	NGA	$11.08\pm0.15$	1.39	8
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} D.\ cremata \\ Candidissima^{**} & D.\ scabra \\ Codsall Pink^{**} & D.\ scabra \\ Conclus BG \\ Comell BG \\ Comell BG \\ D.\ scapra \\ Comell BG \\ Comell BG \\ D.\ scapra \\ Comell BG \\ Comell BG \\ D.\ scapra \\ Comell BG \\ Comell BG \\ D.\ scapra \\ Comell BG \\ Comell BG \\ D.\ scapra \\ Comell BG \\ D.\ scapra \\ Comell BG \\ D.\ scapra \\ Comell BG \\ Comell BG \\ D.\ scapra \\ D.\ sc$	D. crenata <sup>x</sup>	D. coreana	2014-119/Hembree 24	Arnold Arboretum 460-73-A	$11.97\pm0.13$	1.20	10
Candidissima*D. scabra2014-112/Hembree 29Arnold Arboretum 923-81-A12.69 $\pm$ 0.211.27'Codsall Pink*D. scabra2014-108/Hembree 26MCIL12.47 $\pm$ 0.021.25'Summer Snow'D. scabra2014-105/Hembree 25JCRA xx022812.51 $\pm$ 0.211.25'Variegata**D. gracilis2014-056/Hembree 25JCRA xx059112.51 $\pm$ 0.211.26'White Splashed'D. crenata2014-056/Hembree 25JCRA xx059112.55 $\pm$ 0.041.26'White Splashed'D. crenata2014-056/Hembree 35JCRA xx059112.55 $\pm$ 0.101.26D. longifoliaD. crenata2014-056/Hembree 33Arnold Arboretum 850-80-A12.15 $\pm$ 0.101.27D. naseana***D. longifolia2014-105/Hembree 40JCRA 00138912.68 $\pm$ 0.211.27D. schneideriana var. laxiffora2014-102/Hembree 41Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A12.68 $\pm$ 0.071.29D. glabrataD. schneideriana var. laxiffora2014-102/Hembree 42Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A1.271 $\pm$ 0.081.27D. glabrataD. glabrata2014-065/Hembree 42Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A1.271 $\pm$ 0.081.27D. glabrataD. glabrata2014-065/Hembree 42Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A1.261 $\pm$ 0.071.29D. glabrataD. glabrata2014-065/Hembree 42Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A1.271 $\pm$ 0.081.27D. glabrataD. glabrata2014-065/Hembree 42Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A1.271 $\pm$ 0.001.29D. glabrata <td>D. crenata</td> <td></td> <td>2014-066/Hembree 39</td> <td><b>USNA</b> 72020</td> <td><math display="block">12.85\pm0.02</math></td> <td>1.29</td> <td>10</td>	D. crenata		2014-066/Hembree 39	<b>USNA</b> 72020	$12.85\pm0.02$	1.29	10
'Codsall Pink'*D. scabra2014-108/Hembree 26MCIL $12.47 \pm 0.02$ $1.25$ 'Summer Snow'D. crenata2014-058/Hembree 27JCRA xx0228 $12.51 \pm 0.21$ $1.25$ 'Variegata'*D. gracilis $2014-056/Hembree 25$ JCRA xx0238 $12.51 \pm 0.21$ $1.25$ 'Variegata'*D. gracilis $2014-099/Hembree 25$ JCRA xx0591 $12.51 \pm 0.21$ $1.26$ 'White Splashed'D. gracilis $2014-099/Hembree 25$ JCRA xx0591 $12.56 \pm 0.04$ $1.26$ D. longifolia $2014-099/Hembree 33$ Arnold Arboretum 850-80-A $12.15 \pm 0.10$ $1.22$ D. naseana <sup>x,w</sup> D. longifolia $2014-013/Hembree 40$ JCRA 001389 $12.68 \pm 0.21$ $1.27$ D. naseana <sup>x,w</sup> D. schneideriana var. laxiflora $2014-013/Hembree 40$ JCRA 001389 $12.71 \pm 0.08$ $1.27$ D. schneideriana var. laxiflora $2014-002/Hembree 41$ Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A $12.71 \pm 0.08$ $1.27$ D. glabrataD. glabrata $2014-066/Hembree 42$ MCIL $1.271 \pm 0.08$ $1.27$	'Candidissima'x	D. scabra	2014-112/Hembree 29	Arnold Arboretum 923-81-A	$12.69\pm0.21$	1.27	10
'Variegata'*D. crenata $2014-058/Hembree 27$ JCRA xx0228 $12.51 \pm 0.21$ $1.25$ 'Variegata'*D. gracilisD. gracilis $2014-056/Hembree 25$ JCRA xx0591 $12.56 \pm 0.04$ $1.26$ 'White Splashed'D. crenataD. crenata $2014-099/Hembree 33$ Cornell BG $12.15 \pm 0.10$ $1.26$ D. longifoliaD. longifolia $2014-099/Hembree 33$ Arnold Arboretum 850-80-A $12.15 \pm 0.10$ $1.27$ D. longifoliaD. longifolia $2014-106/Hembree 33$ Arnold Arboretum 850-80-A $12.68 \pm 0.21$ $1.27$ D. naseana <sup>x,w</sup> D. parviffora $2014-102/Hembree 40$ JCRA 001389 $12.71 \pm 0.08$ $1.29$ D. schneideriana var. laxiffora $2014-102/Hembree 41$ Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A $12.71 \pm 0.08$ $1.27$ D. glabrataD. glabrata $2014-066/Hembree 42$ MCIL $10.616 \pm 0.20$ $1.35$	'Codsall Pink' <sup>x</sup>	D. scabra	2014-108/Hembree 26	MCIL	$12.47\pm0.02$	1.25	10
'Variegata'*D. gracilis2014-056/Hembree 25JCRA xx059112.56 $\pm$ 0.041.26'White Splashed'D. crenata2014-099/Hembree 32Cornell BG12.15 $\pm$ 0.101.25D. longifoliaD. longifolia2014-106/Hembree 33Arnold Arboretum 850-80-A12.68 $\pm$ 0.211.27D. naseana <sup>x,w</sup> D. longifolia2014-105/Hembree 40JCRA 00138912.68 $\pm$ 0.211.29D. naseana <sup>x,w</sup> D. parviffora2014-102/Hembree 41Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A12.68 $\pm$ 0.071.29D. glabrataD. schneideriana var. laxiffora2014-102/Hembree 42Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A1.271 $\pm$ 0.081.27D. glabrataD. glabrata2014-069/Hembree 42Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A12.71 $\pm$ 0.081.27D. glabrataD. glabrata2014-069/Hembree 42Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A1.271 $\pm$ 0.081.27	'Summer Snow'	D. crenata	2014-058/Hembree 27	JCRA xx0228	$12.51\pm0.21$	1.25	10
White Splashed'       D. crenata       2014-099/Hembree 32       Cornell BG       12.15 $\pm$ 0.10       1.22         D. longifolia       D. longifolia       2014-106/Hembree 33       Arnold Arboretum 850-80-A       12.68 $\pm$ 0.21       1.27         D. longifolia       D. longifolia       2014-105/Hembree 33       Arnold Arboretum 850-80-A       12.68 $\pm$ 0.21       1.27         D. naseana <sup>x,w</sup> D. parvifiora       2014-073/Hembree 40       JCRA 001389       12.88 $\pm$ 0.07       1.29         D. schneideriana var. laxifiora       2014-102/Hembree 41       Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A       12.71 $\pm$ 0.08       1.27         D. glabrata       D. glabrata       2014-069/Hembree 42       Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A       12.71 $\pm$ 0.08       1.35	'Variegata' <sup>x</sup>	D. gracilis	2014-056/Hembree 25	JCRA xx0591	$12.56\pm0.04$	1.26	10
D. longifolia         D. longifolia         2014-106/Hembree 33         Arnold Arboretum 850-80-A         12.68 $\pm$ 0.21         1.27           Elegans <sup>vw</sup> D. longifolia         2014-105/Hembree 40         JCRA 001389         12.68 $\pm$ 0.07         1.29           D. naseana <sup>x,w</sup> D. parvifiora         2014-102/Hembree 40         JCRA 001389         12.88 $\pm$ 0.07         1.29           D. schneideriana         D. schneideriana var. laxifiora         2014-102/Hembree 41         Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A         12.71 $\pm$ 0.08         1.27           D. glabrata         D. glabrata         2014-069/Hembree 42         MCL         16.16 \pm 0.20         1.35	'White Splashed'	D. crenata	2014-099/Hembree 32	Cornell BG	$12.15\pm0.10$	1.22	10
'Elegans'*         D. longifolia         2014-106/Hembree 33         Arnold Arboretum 850-80-A         12.68 $\pm$ 0.21         1.27           D. naseana <sup>x,w</sup> D. parvifiora         2014-073/Hembree 40         JCRA 001389         12.88 $\pm$ 0.07         1.29           D. schneideriana <sup>v</sup> D. schneideriana var. laxifiora         2014-102/Hembree 41         Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A         12.71 $\pm$ 0.08         1.27           D. glauca <sup>x</sup> D. glabrata         2014-069/Hembree 42         MCL         16.16 $\pm$ 0.20         1.35	D. longifolia						
D. naseana <sup>xw</sup> D. parviflora2014-073/Hembree 40JCRA 00138912.88 $\pm$ 0.071.29D. schneiderianaD. schneideriana var. laxiflora2014-102/Hembree 41Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A12.71 $\pm$ 0.081.27D. glauca <sup>x</sup> D. glabrata2014-069/Hembree 42MCIL1.111.561.35	'Elegans' <sup>w</sup>	D. longifolia	2014-106/Hembree 33	Arnold Arboretum 850-80-A	$12.68\pm0.21$	1.27	10
D. schneiderianaD. schneideriana var. laxiflora2014-102/Hembree 41Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A12.71 $\pm$ 0.081.27D. glauca*D. glabrata2014-069/Hembree 42MCIL16.16 $\pm$ 0.201.35D. glauca*D. glabrata2014-069/Hembree 42MCIL16.16 $\pm$ 0.201.35	D. naseana <sup>x,w</sup>	D. parviflora	2014-073/Hembree 40	JCRA 001389	$12.88\pm0.07$	1.29	10
D. glauca <sup>x</sup> D. glabrata $2014-069/\text{Hembree } 42$ MCIL $16.16 \pm 0.20$ $1.35$ D. glaura <sup>x</sup> D. glabrata $2014-069/\text{Hembree } 42$ MCIL $16.16 \pm 0.20$ $1.35$	D. schneideriana <sup>v</sup>	D. schneideriana var. laxiflora	2014-102/Hembree 41	Morris Arboretum 1943-020*A	$12.71\pm0.08$	1.27	10
	D. glauca <sup>x</sup>	D. glabrata	2014-069/Hembree 42	MCIL	$16.16\pm0.20$	1.35	$\approx 12$
D, scanetaeriana' D, scanetaeriana $2014-122/11emore 23$ Arnoid Arooretum 190-90-A $17.0/ \pm 0.18$ 1.43	D. schneideriana <sup>w</sup>	D. schneideriana	2014-122/Hembree 23	Arnold Arboretum 196-96-A	$17.07\pm0.18$	1.43	$\approx \! 12$

University of Georgia (UGA), Athens; U.S. National Arboretum (USNA), Washington, DC. <sup>y</sup>Ploidy confirmed with chromosome counts.

<sup>x</sup>Indicates keyed to new species. <sup>w</sup>Ploidy inconsistent with the literature. <sup>v</sup>Indicates keyed to same species as received, but taxon has been reclassified as noted here.

	Accession no./NCSC		2C genome size	1Cx genome	Estimated
Taxon	voucher no. <sup>z</sup>	Source/ID no. <sup>z</sup>	[mean $\pm$ sE (pg)]	size (pg)	ploidy $(x)$
D. ×elegantissima (D. purpurascens × D. scabra var. sieboldiana) 'Rosalind'	2014-109/Hembree 9	MCIL	$2.67 \pm 0.04$	1.33	2
D. 'NCDX1' (D. ×rosea × D. gracilis)	H2007-190-001/Hembree 50	MCIL	$2.82\pm0.01$	1.41	2
D. 'NCDX2' (D. ×rosea × D. gracilis) <sup>y</sup>	H2010-310-034/Hembree 51	MCIL	$2.76\pm0.03$	1.38	2
D. $\times rosea$ (D. gracilis $\times$ D. purpurascens)	2014-114/Hembree 5	Longwood Gardens 1985-0281	$2.92\pm0.03$	1.46	2
'Carminea'	2014-096/Hembree 7	Cornell BG	$2.87\pm0.05$	1.43	2
'Nikko Blush'	2014-063/Hembree 3	USNA 74356	$2.89\pm0.05$	1.44	2
D. $\times$ kalmijflora (D. parviflora $\times$ D. purpurascens) <sup>y</sup>	2014-064/Hembree 10	USNA 59578	$2.88\pm0.04$	1.44	2
D. × magnifica (D. scabra × D. discolor)	2014-121/Hembree 34	Arnold Arboretum 920-81-A	$11.64\pm0.04$	1.46	8
'Nancy' <sup>y</sup>	2014-101/Hembree 15	Cornell BG	$9.13 \pm 0.14$	1.52	9
'Rubra'	2014-075/Hembree 16	USNA 59622	$10.96\pm0.02$	1.37	8
'Superba'	2014-110/Hembree 30	Arnold Arboretum 841-80-C	$11.59\pm0.06$	1.44	8
'Eburnea'	2014-120/Hembree 31	Holden Arboretum 69-25-85 via	$11.59\pm0.05$	1.44	8
		Morton Arboretum			
'Formosa'	2014-113/Hembree 35	Arnold Arboretum 922-81-A	$11.75\pm0.15$	1.47	8
D. $\times$ hybrida (D. longifolia $\times$ D. discolor)					
'Strawberry Fields'	2014-131/Hembree 20	JCRA 001170	$10.89\pm0.08$	1.36	8
'Tourbillon Rouge'	2014-070/Hembree 18	JCRA 020130	$11.22\pm0.19$	1.40	8
'Magicien'	2014-124/Hembree 22	Holden Arboretum 99-249	$11.27\pm0.03$	1.41	8
'Pink Pompom'	2014-097/Hembree 36	Chicago Botanic Gardens	$11.56\pm0.04$	1.44	8
D. ×myriantha [ $D$ . parviftora × $D$ . setchuenensis	2014-116/Hembree 37	Arnold Arboretum 9-87-A	$11.72 \pm 0.13$	1.47	8
(HIIIIET and Lancaster, 2014) of Domenties V Doministronaus (Servent 1024)					
$D$ , $g_1$ actives $\wedge D$ , purplications (Saugerin, 172+)]					
"Arnold Arboretum, Boston, MA; Chicago Botanic Gai OH; JC Raulston Arboretum (JCRA), Raleigh, NC; Lon Carolina State University Herbarium (NCSC), Raleigh "Ploidy confirmed with chromosome counts.	den, Ulencoe, IL; Cornell Botanic C gwood Gardens, Kennett Square, PA ; U.S. National Arboretum (USNA)	zardens (Cornell BG), Ithaca, NY; Willia t; Morton Arboretum, Lisle, IL; Mountair t, Washington, DC.	ип G. Hembree (Hembre 1 Crop Improvement Lab	ee); Holden Arboret (MCIL), Mills Riv	um, Kırtland, er, NC; North

Table 3. Genome size and estimated ploidy levels of *Deutzia* hybrids.



Fig. 1. Photomicrographs of condensed chromosomes of *Deutzia* taxa: (A) *D. gracilis* 'Nikko Dawn', 2n = 2x = 26; (B) *D. ×kalmiiflora*, 2n = 2x = 26; (C) *D. paniculata* 'Dippon', 2n = 4x = 52; (D) *D. ogatai*, 2n = 4x = 52; (E) *D. ×magnifica* 'Nancy', 2n = 6x = 78; (F) *D. discolor*, 2n = 8x = 104.

scabra, D. uniflora, and D. crenata. In our study, a ploidy series was not found for D. crenata, but it was found for Deutzia schneideriana, which has not been previously reported as having a ploidy series. If the accessions in this study were not keyed and verified, then our results would have been quite different. For example, *D. gracilis* 'Pink Minor' (2n = 2x = 26), D. crenata 'Codsall Pink' (2n = 10x = 130), and D. crenata 'Candidissima' (2n = 10x = 130) were received as D. scabra before being keyed out. Deutzia scabra and D. crenata have shared a close and confusing taxonomic and horticultural history. The common name Pride of Rochester, which is sometimes used as a cultivar name, is variously ascribed to both species (Clapham, 1959; Clarke, 2007). Additionally, D. crenata has, at times, been considered a synonym of D. scabra (Hillier Nurseries, 1974), and the Flora of China (Huang et al., 2001) recognizes *Deutzia crenata* as being synonymous with D. scabra var. crenata. Kim et al. (2015) showed that the two are very closely related as sister species in a phylogenetic study of the genus, thus adding to the confusion surrounding the circumscription of the putative taxa.

The accession received as *D. parviflora* 2014-073 and keyed to *D. scabra* var. *latifolia*, which is a synonym of the currently accepted *D. naseana*, has been reported as tetraploid (Funamoto and Nakamura, 1992; Ohba and Akiyama, 1992). This accession was found to be 2n = 10x = 130, which has been reported for *D. scabra* (Singhal et al., 1980), but not for *D. naseana*.

Most of the accessions received as *D. scabra* were cultivars, including 'Candidissima' and 'Codsall Pink', two decaploids, and 'Pink Minor', a diploid. 'Candidissima' and 'Codsall Pink' were keyed to *D. crenata*. Both *D. crenata* and *D. scabra* have been reported to include decaploids (Niu and Ohba, 2000; Singhal et al., 1980). 'Pink Minor' was keyed to be *D. gracilis*, consistent with all other diploid *D. gracilis* included in this study.

Two of the three accessions that were identified as *D. discolor* were received under the names *D. vilmoriniae* and *D. globosa*. The ploidy of *D. vilmoriniae* and of *D. globosa* have not been reported in previous literature. Flow cytometry data indicated both to be octoploid, which is consistent with

prior reports of *D. discolor* (Darlington and Wylie, 1955; Fedorov, 1974).

*Deutzia parviflora* has been reported as diploid by Darlington and Wylie (1955) and Fedorov (1974), but our accession had a genome size consistent with other tetraploids. The accession of *D. ogatai*, which has been reported as decaploid (Niu and Ohba, 2003), was found to be tetraploid through flow cytometry and chromosome counts.

The one accession of *D. longifolia* had a genome size equivalent to other decaploids, even though it is reported as an octoploid (Cave, 1959). For *D. schneideriana*, which has been reported as a decaploid (Darlington and Wylie, 1955), there was a considerable difference in the genome sizes between our two accessions. *D. schneideriana* 2014-102, received as *D. schneideriana* var. *laxiflora*, had a genome size consistent with that of other decaploids. However, *D. schneideriana* 2014-122 had a genome size in the range expected for a dodecaploid, which has not been reported for *Deutzia*. *Deutzia glauca* had a large genome size simila to that of *D. schneideriana* 2014-122, but its ploidy has not been reported in the literature. Despite considerable efforts, we were unable to confirm the chromosome numbers of these putative dodecaploids with cytology.

The ploidy of hybrids varied from diploid to octoploid and were all isoploid (Table 3). Hybrids sometimes had inconsistent ploidies when compared to their reported parents, although it is difficult to confirm without knowing the ploidy of the exact parents. The lack of any anisoploid hybrids points to the probability of incompatibility of interploid crosses. Some efforts have been made to create interploid hybrids [e.g., *D.* ×*hybrida* × *D. gracilis* (T.G. Ranney, personal observation)], but no success has further reinforced the likelihood of an interploid block in *Deutzia*.

The proportions of plants used in this study that were determined to be misidentified underscore the challenges of *Deutzia* taxonomy. The correct identification and determination of genome sizes and ploidy of a wide range of *Deutzia* species and hybrids will provide a valuable resource for breeders and curators. The most current comprehensive key (Zaikonnikova, 1975) was completed in 1966 and is now older than half a century. There have been numerous taxonomic changes in the genus; therefore, an updated and revised key with referenced voucher specimens would be valuable for the continued understanding of *Deutzia*. In addition to the phylogeny of *Deutzia* (Kim et al., 2015), these data will help inform decisions regarding potential interspecific crosses with greater potential for success.

#### Literature Cited

- Adams, K.L. and J.F. Wendel. 2005. Novel patterns of gene expression in polyploid plants. Trends Genet. 21:539–543.
- Chatterjee, A., S. Ghosh, and S.C. Roy. 1989. A cytological survey of eastern Himalayan plants III. Cell Chromosome Res. 12:22–29.
- Cave, M.S. 1959. Index to plant chromosome numbers for 1956 supplement. California Bot. Soc., Berkeley, CA.
- Cave, M.S. 1963. Index to plant chromosome numbers for 1962. California Bot. Soc., Berkeley, CA.
- Cave, M.S. 1964. Index to plant chromosome numbers for 1963. California Bot. Soc., Berkeley, CA.
- Chen, Z.J. and Z. Ni. 2006. Mechanisms of genomic rearrangements and gene expression changes in plant polyploids. BioEssays 28: 240–252.

- Chen, Z.J. and H. Yu. 2013. Genetic and epigenetic mechanisms for polyploidy and hybridity, p. 335–354. In: Z.J. Chen and J.A. Birchler (eds.). Polyploidy and hybrid genomics. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ.
- Clapham, S. 1959. Brightening the shadows. The Field 213:960-961.
- Clarke, G. 2007. Deutzia. Hort. Week 12(July):20-21.
- Darlington, C.D. and A.P. Wylie. 1955. Chromosome atlas of flowering plants. George Allen and Unwin, London, UK.
- Dirr, M.A. 2009. Manual of woody landscape plants. 6th ed. Stipes Publ., Champaign, IL.
- Doležel, J., J. Greilhuber, S. Lucretti, A. Meister, M.A. Lysak, L. Nardi, and R. Obermayer. 1998. Plant genome size estimation by flow cytometry: Inter-laboratory comparison. Ann. Bot. 82(supplement A):17–26.
- Doležel, J., J. Greilhuber, and J. Suda. 2007. Estimation of nuclear DNA content in plants using flow cytometry. Nat. Protoc. 2:2233–2244.
- Fedorov, A.A. 1974. Chromosome numbers of flowering plants. Acad. Sci. USSR, Komarov Bot. Inst., Leningrad, Russia.
- Funamoto, T. and T. Nakamura. 1992. A karyotype comparison of five species in Japanese *Deutzia* and its allied genus. Kromosomo 67-68:2312–2319.
- Funamoto, T. and T. Nakamura. 1994. Cytogeographical study of *Deutzia crenata* in Japan (Saxifragaceae). Kromosomo 75-76:2624– 2630.
- Hanson, L., K.A. McMahon, M.A.T. Johnson, and M.D. Bennett. 2001. First nuclear DNA C-values for 25 angiosperm families. Ann. Bot. 87:251–258.
- Hegarty, M.J. and S.J. Hiscock. 2008. Genomic clues to the evolutionary success of polyploid plants. Curr. Biol. 18:R435–R444.
- Hillier, J.G. and R. Lancaster. 2014. The Hillier manual of trees and shrubs. Royal Hort. Soc., London, UK.
- Hillier Nurseries. 1974. The Hillier manual of trees and shrubs. David and Charles, Trowbridge, UK.
- Huang, S., H. Ohba, and S. Akiyama. 2001. Saxifragaceae: *Deutzia*, p. 379–395. In: Z. Wu and P.H. Raven (eds.). Flora of China. Vol. 8. Scientific Press, Beijing, China.
- Hwang, S. 1993. The classification and distribution of genus *Deutzia* in China. Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica 31:105–126.
- Hufford, L., M.L. Moody, and D.E. Soltis. 2001. A phylogenetic analysis of Hydrangeaceae based on sequences of the plastid gene matK and their combination with rbcL and morphological data. Intl. J. Plant Sci. 162:835–846.
- Jones, J.R., T.G. Ranney, N.P. Lynch, and S.L. Krebs. 2007. Ploidy levels and relative genome sizes of diverse species, hybrids, and cultivars of rhododendron. J. Amer. Rhododendr. Soc. 61:220–227.
- Kao, K.N. 1975. A nuclear staining method for protoplasts, p. 60–64. In: O.L. Gamborg and L.Z. Wetter (eds.). Plant tissue culture methods. Natl. Res. Council Canada, Prairie Regional Lab., Saskatoon, SK, Canada.
- Kim, C., T. Deng, J. Wen, Z. Nie, and H. Sun. 2015. Systematics, biogeography, and character evolution of *Deutzia* (Hydrangeaceae) inferred from nuclear and chloroplast DNA sequences. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 87:91–104.
- Laport, R.G. and J. Ng. 2017. Out of one, many: The biodiversity considerations of polyploidy. Amer. J. Bot. 104:1119–1121.
- Lattier, J.D., T.G. Ranney, P.R. Fantz, and T. Avent. 2014. Identification, nomenclature, genome sizes and ploidy levels of *Liriope* and *Ophiopogon* taxa. HortScience 49:145–151.

- Madlung, A. 2013. Polyplody and its effect on evolutionary success: Old questions revisited with new tools. Heredity 110:99–104.
- Niu, L. and H. Ohba. 2000. Taxonomic studies of *Deutzia* Thunb. (Saxifragaceae s.l.) in Japan. 1. Chromosome numbers. J. Jpn. Bot. 75:80–88.
- Niu, L. and H. Ohba. 2003. Taxonomic studies in Deutzia Thunb. (Saxifragaceae) s.l. in Japan 3. Chromosome numbers of *Deutzia* bungoensis Hatus. and *D. ogatae* Koidz. J. Jpn. Bot. 78:257–281.
- Ohba, H. and S. Akiyama. 1992. A taxonomic revision of *Deutzia* (Saxifragaceae, s.l.) in the Ryukyu Islands, S. Japan. J. Jpn. Bot. 67:154–165.
- Parris, J.K., T.G. Ranney, H.T. Knap, and W.V. Baird. 2010. Ploidy levels, relative genome sizes, and base pair composition in magnolia. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 135:533–547.
- Ranney, T.G. 2006. Polyploidy: From evolution to new plant development. Proc. Intl. Plant Prop. Soc. 56:137–142.
- Ranney, T.G., C.F. Ryan, L.E. Deans, and N.P. Lynch. 2018. Cytogenetics and genome size evolution in *Illicium*. HortScience 53:620– 623.
- Rounsaville, T.J. and T.G. Ranney. 2010. Ploidy levels and genome sizes of *Berberis* L. and *Mahonia* Nutt. species, hybrids, and cultivars. HortScience 45:1029–1033.
- Sandhu, P.S. and S.K. Mann. 1989. SOCGI plant chromosome number reports 88-89 VIII. J. Cytol. Genet. 24:179–183.
- Sargent, C.S. 1924. Deutzias. Bul. Popular Info. Arnold Arboretum. Harvard Univ. 10:37–38.
- Sax, K. 1931. Chromosome numbers in the ligneous Saxifragaceae. Arnoldia 12:198–206.
- Shearer, K. and T.G. Ranney. 2013. Ploidy levels and relative genome sizes of species, hybrids, and cultivars of dogwood (*Cornus* spp.). HortScience 48:825–830.
- Singhal, V.K., B.S. Gill, and S.S. Bir. 1980. In chromosome number reports LXVII. Taxon 29:355–357.
- Soltis, D.E., Q.Y. Xiang, and L. Hufford. 1995. Relationships and evolution of Hydrangeaceae based on rbcL sequence data. Amer. J. Bot. 82:504–514.
- Soltis, P.S., D.B. Marchant, Y. Van de Peer, and D.E. Soltis. 2015. Polyploidy and genome evolution in plants. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 35:119–125.
- Stevens, P.F. 2001. Angiosperm phylogeny website. Version 14, July 2017. 8 Aug. 2018. <a href="http://www.mobot.org/MOBOT/research/APweb/">http://www.mobot.org/MOBOT/research/APweb/</a>.
- Styer, C.H. and W.L. Stern. 1979. Comparative anatomy and systematics of woody Saxifragaceae. Deutzia\*. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 79:231– 319.
- The Plant List. 2019. The plant list: A working list of all plant species. 26 June 2019. <a href="http://www.theplantlist.org/">http://www.theplantlist.org/</a>.
- Terasaka, O. and R. Tanaka. 1974. Cytological studies on the nuclear differentiation in microspore division of some angiosperms. Bot. Mag. Tokyo 87:209–217.
- Wendel, J.F. 2015. The wondrous cycles of polyploidy in plants. Amer. J. Bot. 102:1753–1756.
- Wyman, D. 1971. Wyman's gardening encyclopedia. Macmillan, New York, NY.
- Ward, D.E. 1984. Chromosome counts from New Mexico and Mexico. Phytologia 56:55–60.
- Zaikonnikova, T.I. 1975. A key to the species of the genus *Deutzia* Thunberg (Saxifragaceae). (translated by H.K. Airy Shaw.). Baileya 19:133–144.